

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 27313,1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov		
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
095309.55958US	9152	

23911

APPLICATION NO.

10/525,720

7590

11/03/2006

FILING DATE

08/03/2005

CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300 EXAMINER
PEDDER, DENNIS H

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Konrad Eipper

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/525,720	EIPPER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. tely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 February 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	e <b>r</b>			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	eu.		
Attachment(s)	v □ ••• •	(DTO 442)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/2005.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 is deemed to be indefinite for the alternative structural recitation of "wall sections, floor sections, or both wall sections and floor sections".

Claim 18 does not appear to limit claim 11, and is thus confusing and rejected under 35 USC 112, fourth paragraph for not limiting a preceding claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 3612

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 11-14, 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimoto et al. in view of Brandel et al. (DE 4228120) and Waetzold et al. (DE3528818).

Nishimoto et al. have the front module 10 and the basic module 12, each with support sections and wall sections. Nishimoto et al. have column sections 6A, 6B that connect together (figures 15-16) but lack the oblique surfaces of lateral longitudinal sections.

Brandel et al. teach that lateral longitudinal sections 15,18 of a basic module and a front module, respectively may form abutting surfaces at 35 and behind member 17. These surfaces are substantially perpendicular to the longitudinal.

Waetzold et al. teach that the abutment of lateral longitudinal members may be formed by oblique surfaces at 11,12 in figure 1. Such surfaces are deemed to provide increased vertical support for the basic module to the front module of Nishimoto et al. as modified by Brandel et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Nishimoto et al. abutting surfaces as taught by Brandel et al. in order to connect a front and basic module and oblique surfaces as taught by Waetzold et al. for better support.

As to claim 12, the planar surfaces can be seen in figure 2 along with the box profile, claim 13.

As to claim 14, the acute angles are seen in figure 1.

As to claim 16, see floor 26 in Brandel et al. and the over/under relationship in figure 2.

As to claim 17, the basic module floor is recessed at front edge 6 as seen in figure 1, deemed to be for reception in overlapping manner of the front end region floor 26.

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 15 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 8/3/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 7. 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

No list is found in this file of the cited documents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (571) 272-6667. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

Art Unit: 3612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner

10/31/06

Art Unit 3612

DHP 10/31/2006